BUDGET RESOLUTION/Fiscal Dividend and Agricultural Spending

SUBJECT: Senate Concurrent Budget Resolution for fiscal years 1996-2002 . . . S. Con. Res. 13. Exon motion to waive section 305(b) of the Budget Act for the consideration of the Exon amendment No. 1151.

ACTION: MOTION REJECTED, 31-69

SYNOPSIS: As reported, S. Con. Res. 13, the fiscal year 1996 Concurrent Budget Resolution, will reduce projected spending over 7 years to balance the budget by fiscal year (FY) 2002 without increasing taxes. Savings that will accrue from lower debt service payments (an estimated \$170 billion) will be dedicated to a reserve fund, which may be used for tax reductions after enactment of laws to ensure a balanced budget. Highlights include the following: the rate of growth in Medicare will be slowed to 7.1 percent; Medicaid's rate of growth will be slowed to 5 percent and it will be transformed into a block grant program; the Commerce Department and more than 100 other Federal programs, agencies, and commissions will be eliminated; welfare and housing programs will be reformed; agriculture, energy, and transportation subsidies will be cut; foreign aid will be cut; defense spending will be cut and then allowed to increase back to its 1995 level; and Social Security will not be altered.

The Exon amendment would provide that of the additional deficit reduction (as reported by the Congressional Budget Office) that may accrue as a result of passing legislation to balance the budget (the fiscal dividend), \$15 billion could be spent by raising the outlay authority of the Agricultural Committee by \$15 billion. The amendment would partially restore the reductions in outlays below currently projected spending levels that this resolution will require the Agriculture Committee to make.

The amendment was offered after all debate time had expired. However, some statements on amendments were added to the record or were made before the amendments were offered and before debate time had expired. Also, by unanimous consent, 1 minute of time was allowed on each amendment for explanatory statements before each vote. Senator Domenici raised the point of order that the amendment violated section 305(b) of the Budget Act. Senator Exon then moved to waive that section. Generally, those favoring the motion to waive favored the amendment; those opposing the motion to waive opposed the amendment.

NOTE: The motion to waive section 305(b) requires a three-fifths majority (60) vote.

(See other side)

YEAS (31)			NAYS (69)			NOT VOTING (0)	
Republicans (1 or 2%)	Democrats (30 or 65%)		Republicans (53 or 98%)		Democrats (16 or 35%)	Republicans	Democrats (0)
						(0)	
Jeffords	Akaka Baucus Bingaman Breaux Bumpers Byrd Conrad Daschle Dodd Dorgan Exon Feingold Feinstein Ford Harkin	Heflin Hollings Inouye Johnston Kennedy Kerrey Kohl Leahy Moseley-Braun Murray Nunn Pryor Robb Simon Wellstone	Abraham Ashcroft Bennett Bond Brown Burns Campbell Chafee Coats Cochran Cohen Coverdell Craig D'Amato DeWine Dole Domenici Faircloth Frist Gorton Gramm Grams Grassley Gregg Hatch Hatfield	Helms Hutchison Inhofe Kassebaum Kempthorne Kyl Lott Lugar Mack McCain McConnell Murkowski Nickles Packwood Pressler Roth Santorum Shelby Simpson Smith Snowe Specter Stevens Thomas Thompson Thurmond Warner	Biden Boxer Bradley Bryan Glenn Graham Kerry Lautenberg Levin Lieberman Mikulski Moynihan Pell Reid Rockefeller Sarbanes	EXPLANAT 1—Official I 2—Necessar 3—Illness 4—Other SYMBOLS: AY—Annou AN—Annou PY—Paired PN—Paired	nced Yea nced Nay Yea

VOTE NO. 191 MAY 24, 1995

Those favoring the motion to waive contended:

The harsh Republican cuts in Agriculture programs will contribute to the further deterioration of the economic and social fabric of America. Farmers are among the most fiscally conservative people in America. They strongly support a balanced budget. However, they should not be asked to bear a disproportionate share of the burden in achieving that balance. Within the last decade farm producers have seen commodity program spending decline more than 60 percent. This budget resolution will reduce funding for the Agriculture Department by \$45.9 billion over the next 7 years, which will translate into a roughly \$12 billion (20 percent) cut in farm programs. This cut is unfair.

This reduced funding will also cause great harm for poorer Americans, especially children, who rely on food assistance. In total, this budget resolution will cut \$20 billion out of the Food Stamp program. We cannot overstate our opposition to cutting the Food Stamp program, especially in those States that do not provide large cash welfare benefits. In such States, the Food Stamp program is the main social safety net for children.

The Exon amendment would increase support for farmers, and would increase support for poor children, by providing that \$15 billion of the expected \$170 billion fiscal dividend would be spent on agricultural programs. The remainder could still be used for tax cuts. We urge our colleagues to join us in voting in favor of this amendment.

No arguments were expressed in opposition to the motion to waive.